arXiv:1703.09489v1 [math.GT] 28 Mar 2017

GENERALIZED CONNECTED SUM FORMULA FOR THE ARNOLD INVARIANTS OF GENERIC PLANE CURVES

KEIICHI SAKAI AND RYUTARO SUGIYAMA

Abstract. We define the *generalized connected sum* for generic closed plane curves, generalizing the *strange sum* defined by Arnold, and completely describe how the Arnold invariants $J^{\pm}$ and St behave under the generalized connected sums.

1. Introduction

A *generic plane curve* is a C^{∞}-immersion $c\colon M \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^2$, where M is either a closed connected interval or S^1, without self tangency point nor triple or more multiple point. We call the image $C = c(M) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ an *unoriented* generic plane curve. The classification problem of generic *closed* plane curves $c\colon S^1 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^2$ was posed in [1] and is known to be quite complicated. Arnold defined in [1] three invariants J^+, J^- and St (the last one is called the *strangeness*) of unoriented generic closed plane curves (Theorems 2.9–2.11). These invariants form a basis of the space of degree one Vassiliev type invariants of generic closed plane curves. Although they are not complete invariants, geometric understanding of them would be important, with a high-dimensional analogues in mind.

Let C_0, C_1 be any unoriented generic closed plane curves in general position and let Γ be a *bridge* between them, namely a generic plane curve that emanates from a generic point (i.e. non-double point) on C_0 and terminates at a generic point on C_1 creating no triple point nor tangency point with C_0 and C_1. The *generalized connected sum* of C_0, C_1 along Γ is obtained by performing a "surgery" on $C_0 \cup C_1$ along Γ (Definition 2.14). This generalizes the *connected-sum* and the *strange sum* [1, §3] of *separated* closed curves.

In [1] it has been shown that St is additive under the strange sums and $J^{\pm}$ is additive under the connected sums (Theorem 2.17). Afterward Mendes de Jesus and Romero Fuster [2] partially generalized Arnold's result to the case that $C_0 \cap C_1$ may be non-empty but $\operatorname{Int}\Gamma \cap (C_0 \cup C_1) = \emptyset$ and Γ has no double point (Theorem 4.7). They use the numbers $T^{\pm}$ and T^{St}_{Γ} which count with signs the tangencies / triple points that occur in a separating homotopy of C_0, C_1 (Definitions 4.1, 4.2). Though these numbers occupy important places in connected sum formulas, any explicit way to calculate them has not been known.

Our aim is to establish complete generalized connected sum formulas for $X = J^{\pm}, St$ in terms of $X(C_i)$ $(i = 0, 1)$ and some geometric data of C_i and Γ (Theorems 4.4, 4.5). Our formulas also include $T^{\pm}$ and T^{St}_{Γ}, and we give algorithms to compute them (Propositions 5.3, 5.9).

In general, connected-sum formula for an invariant has another aspect; making computations easier by decomposing objects into smaller ones. There exists a way to decompose any nontrivial generic closed curve into a generalized connected sum to which our formulas can be applied. But in fact the formulas given in [2] (of which our formulas are generalizations) are enough for such decompositions; see [2, §5].

We also remark that the numbers of double points of generalized connected sums are large in general, and in the tables in [1, §10 and appendix by Aicardi] there is no example to which our formulas are essentially applied. It would be an interesting problem to find a

Date: March 29, 2017.
KS is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16K05144.



FIGURE 2.1. The standard curves

family of generic closed curves whose values of the Arnold invariants can be computed in a systematic way using our formulas.

2. Terminologies

All the curves that we will consider are plane curves. The Arnold invariants $J^{\pm}$ and St assign integers to (unoriented) *generic closed curves*. In this section we recall the axiomatic definition of these invariants following [1].

2.1. **Generic curves.** A *regular closed curve* is a smooth immersion $S^1 := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^2$. A regular closed curve is endowed with a natural orientation. In the following, unless otherwise stated, regular closed curves (maps) $c\colon S^1 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^2$ are denoted by lowercase letters and their images $C := c(S^1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ are denoted by capital letters. We call C an *unoriented regular closed curve*.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be the space of regular closed curves (maps) equipped with Whitney C^∞-topology. A *regular homotopy* of regular closed curves is a continuous path $[0,1] \to \mathcal{I}$, or equivalently a continuous family $[0,1] \times S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ of regular closed curves. Regular homotopy for unoriented closed curves is defined in an obvious way.

Definition 2.1. The *rotation number* of $c \in \mathcal{I}$ is defined by

$$r(c) := \deg\Big(\frac{c'}{|c'|}\colon S^1 \to S^1\Big) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It is known [3] that $c_0, c_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ can be connected to each other by a regular homotopy in $\mathcal{I}$ if and only if $r(c_0) = r(c_1)$. Thus the set of regular closed curves up to regular homotopy, namely $\pi_0(\mathcal{I})$, is identified with $\mathbb{Z}$ via the rotation number. It can be seen that the rotation number of (a parametrization of) the *standard curve* K_n shown in Figure 2.1 is $\pm n$, and hence any $c \in \mathcal{I}$ can be transformed to a parametrization of $K_{|r(c)|}$ by a regular homotopy.

Definition 2.2. A *double point* of $c \in \mathcal{I}$ is a point $c(s) = c(t)$ for some $s \neq t \in S^1$. A *self tangency point* of c is a double point $c(s) = c(t)$, such that $c'(s) = kc'(t)$ for some $k \neq 0$. If $k > 0$ then the self tangency point is said to be *direct*, and *inverse* otherwise. A *triple point* of c is a point $c(s) = c(t) = c(u)$, where $s, t, u \in S^1$ are all distinct.

Define $\Sigma^d, \Sigma^i, \Sigma^t \subset \mathcal{I}$ to be the subspaces consisting of $c \in \mathcal{I}$ with respectively at least one direct self tangency point, inverse self tangency point, triple point. The union $\Sigma := \Sigma^d \cup \Sigma^i \cup \Sigma^t$ is called the *discriminant set*. Any element of the complement $\mathcal{GI} := \mathcal{I} \setminus \Sigma$ is called a *generic closed curve*. We say two generic closed curves are *equivalent as generic closed curves* if they are joined by a regular homotopy which does not intersect Σ.

Remark 2.3. Double / triple points of *unoriented* generic curves are defined in obvious ways. Moreover "direct / inverse self tangency points of *unoriented* curves" make sense because, if $p \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a direct (resp. an inverse) self tangency point of c, then p is also a direct (resp. an inverse) self tangency point of $c \circ \psi$ for any diffeomorphism $\psi\colon S^1 \to S^1$.

All the multiple points of a generic curve are transverse double points, and there is no triple point nor self tangency point. The space $\mathcal{GI}$ of generic closed curves is open dense in $\mathcal{I}$. The most generic part of Σ^d (resp. Σ^i) consists of curves with exactly one direct (resp. inverse) *generic self tangency point*, namely at the point the second derivatives of



FIGURE 2.2. Getting across a direct self tangency



FIGURE 2.3. Getting across a inverse self tangency

two branches of the curve are not parallel. The most generic part of Σ^t consists of curves with exactly one *generic triple point*, namely at the point any two of three branches of the curve are transverse. The most generic part of Σ is of codimension one in $\mathcal{I}$.

Definition 2.4. We say a regular homotopy $[0,1] \to \mathcal{I}$ is *generic* if it transversely intersects Σ in a finite set contained in the most generic part of Σ.

If $h\colon [0,1] \to \mathcal{I}$ is a generic regular homotopy then $h(s) \in \mathcal{GI}$ for all but finitely many $s_1, \ldots, s_k \in [0,1]$, and for any $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and any small $\epsilon > 0$, the curves $h(s_i + \epsilon)$ and $h(s_i - \epsilon) \in \mathcal{GI}$ are distinct as generic closed curves. For $i = 1, \ldots, k$ the regular closed curve $h(s_i)$ has exactly one generic self tangency point or generic triple point.

Definition 2.5. Define the *co-orientation* of the most generic part of Σ as follows; we say a generic regular homotopy $h\colon [-\epsilon, +\epsilon] \to \mathcal{I}$, $h(0) \in \Sigma^d$ (resp. $h(0) \in \Sigma^i$), *gets across* Σ^d (resp. Σ^i) *positively* if the number of double points of $h(\epsilon)$ is greater than that of $h(-\epsilon)$ by two (see Figures 2.2, 2.3). We say a generic regular homotopy $h\colon [-\epsilon, \epsilon] \to \mathcal{I}$, $h(0) \in \Sigma^t$, *gets across* Σ^t *positively* if $(-1)^q = +1$, where q is the number of edges of the *newborn triangle* of $h(\epsilon)$ near the triple point (see Figures 2.4, 2.5) whose orientations are compatible with the cyclic order of the edges determined by the parameter of $h(\epsilon)$.

Example 2.6. In both Figures 2.4, 2.5 the edges of the newborn triangles are given the counterclockwise order by the parameter of $h(\epsilon)$. In the case of Figure 2.4 the orientations of edges $1, 2$ of newborn triangle are compatible with the counterclockwise order of the edges, and hence $q = 2$. Thus in Figure 2.4 the homotopy gets positively across Σ^t. In the case of Figure 2.5 the orientations of all the edges $1, 2, 3$ of the newborn triangle are compatible with the order of the edges, and hence $q = 3$. Thus the homotopy gets negatively across Σ^t.

Remark 2.7. The co-orientation of Σ^t is well-defined, namely cyclic permutations of the order of edges of newborn triangle do not change q. Moreover the definition of the co-orientation of Σ is independent of the orientation of the curve; for example reversing the orientations of curves in Figures 2.4, 2.5 does not change the parity of q. Therefore it makes sense saying that "a generic regular homotopy of *unoriented* closed curves gets across a



FIGURE 2.4. Getting across a triple point positively ($q = 2$)



FIGURE 2.5. Getting across a triple point negatively ($q = 3$)

self tangency / a triple point *positively* (or *negatively*)". Here we say a subset $C \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is an *unoriented generic closed curve* if it is the image of some $c \in \mathcal{GI}$.

Remark 2.8. If the sign of a newborn triangle is ± 1, then the sign of the corresponding *vanishing triangle* (see Figure 2.4) is always ∓ 1.

2.2. **The Arnold invariants.** The Arnold invariants are the functions $\mathcal{GI}/\mathrm{Diff}(S^1) \to \mathbb{Z}$ characterized by the following Theorems.

Theorem 2.9 ([1])**.** There exists a unique invariant J^+ of unoriented generic closed curves under generic homotopies getting across no direct self tangency, satisfying

- $J^+(K_0) = 0$ and $J^+(K_n) = -2(n-1)$ $(n \geq 1)$ for the standard curves K_n (see Figure 2.1), and
- if C_0 is transformed to C_1 through a positive direct self tangency point, then

$$J^+(C_1) - J^+(C_0) = 2.$$

Notice that, after getting through a direct self tangency negatively, the value of J^+ decreases by 2. The above properties enable us to compute $J^+(C)$ for any unoriented generic closed curves since any C can be transformed to one of K_n's by a generic regular homotopy [3], and in fact $J^+(C)$ is independent of the choice of generic homotopies since the most generic part of Σ is "consistently co-oriented". See [1, §2] for details. Similarly $J^-(C)$ and $St(C)$ below are well defined and can be computed.

Theorem 2.10 ([1])**.** There exists a unique invariant J^- of unoriented generic closed curves under generic homotopies getting across no inverse self tangency, satisfying

- $J^-(K_0) = 0$ and $J^-(K_n) = -3(n-1)$ $(n \geq 1)$, and
- if C_0 is transformed to C_1 through a positive inverse self tangency point, then

$$J^-(C_1) - J^-(C_0) = -2.$$

Theorem 2.11 ([1])**.** There exists a unique invariant St of unoriented generic closed curves under generic homotopies getting across no triple point, satisfying



FIGURE 2.6. An example of generalized connected sum



FIGURE 2.7. Compatible orientations

- $St(K_0) = 0$ and $St(K_n) = n - 1$ $(n \geq 1)$, and
- if C_0 is transformed to C_1 through a positive triple point, then

$$St(C_1) - St(C_0) = 1.$$

2.3. **Generalized connected sum.** Let C_0, C_1 be unoriented generic closed curves.

Definition 2.12. The image $\Gamma := \gamma([0,1])$ of a generic curve $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is a *bridge between C_0 and C_1* if $\gamma(i) \in C_i$ $(i = 0, 1)$ and Γ is generic with respect to C_0 and C_1, that means

(1) $\Gamma \cap D(C_i) = \emptyset$ $(i = 0, 1)$, where $D(C_i)$ is the set of double points of C_i,
(2) $D(\Gamma) \cap C_i = \emptyset$ $(i = 0, 1)$, and
(3) Γ intersects C_0, C_1 transversely (transversality at $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(1)$ is also required).

Definition 2.13. We say that two generic closed curves are *in general position* if there exists no tangency nor triple point involving both curves.

Definition 2.14. Let C_0, C_1 be unoriented generic closed curves in general position and $\Gamma = \gamma([0,1])$ a bridge between them. Define the *generalized connected sum* $C_0 +_\Gamma C_1$ along Γ as in Figure 2.6; first remove from C_i $(i = 0, 1)$ a small interval I_i satisfying $\gamma(i) \in I_i$, $I_i \cap D(C_i) = \emptyset$ and $I_i \cap C_{1-i} = \emptyset$. Next replace Γ with a pair of generic curves $\Gamma_\pm$ that are parallel to the original Γ and connect the boundary points of I_0 and I_1, and that are close to Γ so that $\Gamma_\pm \cap (C_0 \cup C_1)$ can be naturally identified with $\Gamma \cap (C_0 \cup C_1)$. Define $C_0 +_\Gamma C_1$ as the curve $(\Gamma \setminus (I_0 \sqcup I_1)) \cup (\Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-)$ (with its corners smoothed).

$C_0 +_\Gamma C_1$ is a generic closed curve if C_0, C_1 are generic and in general position, and the parallel curves $\Gamma_\pm$ are taken to be sufficiently close to Γ.

Definition 2.15. Let C_0, C_1 be unoriented generic closed curves and Γ a bridge between them. We say parameters c_i $(i = 0, 1)$ of C_i are *compatible with respect to* Γ if there exists a parameter c of $C_0 +_\Gamma C_1$ that determines the same orientation of C_i as that by c_i (see Figure 2.7) .

If c_0, c_1 are compatible then so are $-c_0, -c_1$, where in general $(-c)(t) := c(1 - t)$ is the curve with opposite orientation to c. Any quantities in the following are independent of the choices. Unless otherwise stated, we always choose compatible parameters c_i of C_i $(i = 0, 1)$ with respect to bridge Γ.

Definition 2.16. We say (unoriented) generic closed curves C_0, C_1 are *separated* if there exists an unbounded curve dividing $\mathbb{R}^2$ into two half planes H_0, H_1 such that $C_i \subset H_i$.



FIGURE 2.8. Strange sum and connected sum



FIGURE 3.1. The orientation induced by a normal vector v

When C_0 and C_1 are separated, $C_0 +_\Gamma C_1$ is *strange sum* introduced in [1, §3]. If moreover $\operatorname{Int}\Gamma \cap (C_0 \cup C_1) = \emptyset$ (where $\operatorname{Int}\Gamma := \Gamma \setminus \partial\Gamma$) and Γ has no double point, then $C_0 +_\Gamma C_1$ is *connected sum* introduced in [1, §3]. See Figure 2.8.

Our aim is to generalize the following additivity formulas for the Arnold invariants (and their generalizations given in [2], see Theorem 4.7) to generalized connected sums.

Theorem 2.17 ([1])**.** (1) If $C_0 +_\Gamma C_1$ is a connected sum, then $J^\pm(C_0 +_\Gamma C_1) = J^\pm(C_0) + J^\pm(C_1)$.
(2) If $C_0 +_\Gamma C_1$ is a strange sum, then $St(C_0 +_\Gamma C_1) = St(C_0) + St(C_1)$.

3. A strange sum formula for $J^\pm$

Strange sum formula for $J^\pm$ is lacking in Theorem 2.17. In this section we partially fill the lack in some special cases. This is the first step to the generalized connected sum formulas.

Let $c \in \mathcal{GI}$ and put $C := c(S^1) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Note that $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C$ is decomposed into finitely many connected components, exactly one of which is unbounded (we denote it by R^∞).

Definition 3.1. For any connected component $R \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C$, define the *index of c with respect to R* by $\operatorname{ind}^R(c) := \deg\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\varphi\colon S^1 \to S^1$ is defined by

$$\varphi(t) := \frac{c(t) - p}{|c(t) - p|}$$

for a fixed point $p \in R$.

Notice that $\operatorname{ind}^R(c)$ is independent of the choice of the point $p \in R$, and is not changed by regular homotopy in which c never pass through p. It is not difficult to see $\operatorname{ind}^{R^\infty}(c) = 0$. The index $\operatorname{ind}^R(c)$ is also called the "Alexander numbering" of R.

The index $\operatorname{ind}^R(c)$ *does* depend on the orientation of c, indeed $\operatorname{ind}^R(-c) = -\operatorname{ind}^R(c)$. For an unoriented curve C, a specified normal vector to C can play a role of orientation.

Definition 3.2. Let v be a non-zero vector that emanates from $x \in C \setminus D(C)$ and that is transverse to C. We say a parameter c of C is *compatible with v* if the ordered pair $\langle v, c'(t_0)\rangle$ ($x = c(t_0)$) is a positive basis of $\mathbb{R}^2$ (see Figure 3.1).

For a connected component $R \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C$, define the *index of C with respect to* (v, R) as follows; choose a compatible parameter $c : S^1 \looparrowright \mathbb{R}^2$ of C with v, and define

$$\operatorname{ind}_v^R(C) := \operatorname{ind}^R(c) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let R_v is the unique component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C$ that contains x in its boundary and that is pointed by the vector v (see Figure 3.1). We denote $\operatorname{ind}_v^{R_v}(C)$ by simply $\operatorname{ind}_v(C)$.

FIGURE 3.2. Strange sums that do / do not satisfy (3.1)



FIGURE 3.3. c_i and c_j point the same side of A at x and y, while c_i and c_k point the opposite sides of A at x and z

This is a well-defined invariant of *unoriented* generic curves C with specified transverse vector v and connected component R of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C$.

In general $\mathrm{ind}_v^R(C)$ can be defined even if v and R do not relate to one another. In the following however we exclusively consider the cases that a bridge $\Gamma = \gamma([0,1])$ between generic closed curves C_0, C_1 is given, and consider $\mathrm{ind}_v^R(C)$ for $v = (-1)^i\gamma'(i)$ $(i = 0, 1)$ and

- $R = R_{(-1)^i\gamma'(i)}$, or
- $R = R_i$ is the component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C_i$ containing $\gamma(1-i)$.

Now we are ready to state a strange sum formula for $J^\pm$ under some conditions.

Proposition 3.3. Let C_0, C_1 be *separated* unoriented generic closed curves and Γ a bridge between them (note that $C_0 +_\Gamma C_1$ is a strange sum). Suppose that $|D(\Gamma)| = n_\Gamma$ and we can choose a parameter $\gamma\colon [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ of Γ such that, if we let $\Gamma_0 := \gamma([0,1/3])$ and $\Gamma_1 := \gamma([2/3,1])$, then

$$\Gamma \cap C_i = \Gamma_i \cap C_i \quad (i = 0,1), \qquad D(\Gamma) = D(\Gamma_0) \sqcup D(\Gamma_1) \tag{3.1}$$

(see Figure 3.2). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &J^\pm(C_0 +_\Gamma C_1) \\ &\qquad = J^\pm(C_0) + J^\pm(C_1) + \mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) + \mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) \pm 2n_\Gamma \pm |\mathrm{Int}\,\Gamma \cap (C_0 \cup C_1)|\,. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.4. In fact Proposition 3.3 holds without the assumption (3.1). See Remark 4.6.

For the proof we need some observations.

Definition 3.5. Let $c_0, c_1 \in \mathcal{GI}$ be in general position, and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a generic curve such that A is transverse to C_i, $i = 0, 1$, and $A \cap D(C_i) = \emptyset$. For any distinct $x \in A \cap C_i$ and $y \in A \cap C_j$ (possibly $i = j$), we say *c_i and c_j point the same side of A at x and y* if c_i and c_j are not compatible with respect to A (see Definition 2.15). If otherwise we say *c_i and c_j point the opposite sides of A at x and y*. See Figure 3.3.

In the case $i = j$, if c_i points the same side of A at x and y, then so does $-c_i$. Thus it makes sense saying "an unoriented curve C points the same side of A at $x, y \in A \cap C$".

Definition 3.6. Let C_0, C_1 be generic closed curves and Γ a bridge between them. For $x \in \mathrm{Int}\,\Gamma \cap C_i$ $(i = 0, 1)$, define $s(x) := +1$ (resp. -1) if C_i points the same side (resp. the opposite sides) of Γ at x and $\gamma(i)$.

Lemma 3.7. Let C be a generic closed curve and $A = \alpha([0,1])$ a generic curve such that

- A is transverse to C and $A \cap D(C) = D(A) \cap C = \emptyset$, and
- $\alpha(0) \in C$, and $\alpha(1)$ is in a connected component R of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C$.

Let $l^\pm$ be the numbers of the points $x \in \mathrm{Int}\,A \cap C$ such that $s(x) = \pm 1$. Then $\mathrm{ind}^R_{\alpha'(0)}(C) - \mathrm{ind}_{\alpha'(0)}(C) = l^- - l^+$.



FIGURE 3.4. Comparing $\mathrm{ind}^{R^l}(c)$ with $\mathrm{ind}^{R^r}(c)$ (corners in the right figure should be smoothed)

Remark 3.8. We can also state Lemma 3.7 as $\mathrm{ind}^R_{\alpha'(0)}(C) - \mathrm{ind}_{\alpha'(0)}(C) = -\sum_{x\in \mathrm{Int}\, A\cap C} s(x)$. We often use Lemma 3.7 in this form in the proof of Theorem 4.5.

Proof of Lemma 3.7. To compute $\mathrm{ind}^{(R)}_{\alpha'(0)}(C)$ we choose a compatible parameter c of C with $\alpha'(0)$ (see Definition 2.15). Let $c(t) \in A \cap C$ and let $R^l, R^r \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C$ be adjacent components to $c(t)$ such that R^l is on the left side of c (see Figure 3.4). For any points $p_* \in R^*$ ($* = l, r$), we have $\mathrm{ind}^{R^*}(c) = \deg \varphi_*$, where $\varphi_* \colon S^1 \to S^1$ is defined by $\varphi_*(t) := (c(t) - p_*)/|c(t) - p_*|$ (see Definition 3.1). Transforming c as in Figure 3.4, we see that $\varphi_l(t)$ "rotates one more than $\varphi_r(t)$ does" in the counterclockwise direction, and hence

$$\mathrm{ind}^{R^l}(c) = \mathrm{ind}^{R^r}(c) + 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $\xi \in A \cap C$ be a point such that $s(\xi) = +1$. Then α gets across c at ξ from the left (consider the case $x = \alpha(0)$ and $y = \xi$ in Figure 3.3). Thus by (3.2) the index of c with respect to components of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C$ decreases by one when we get across c at ξ along α. Similarly we see that the index increases by one when we get across c at $\eta \in A \cap C$ with $s(\eta) = -1$. Therefore $\mathrm{ind}^R(c) - \mathrm{ind}^{R_{\alpha'(0)}}(c) = l^- - l^+$. We have $\mathrm{ind}^R(c) = \mathrm{ind}^R_{\alpha'(0)}(C)$ and $\mathrm{ind}^{R_{\alpha'(0)}}(c) = \mathrm{ind}_{\alpha'(0)}(C)$ because c is compatible with $\alpha'(0)$. □

The following is proved by inspection (see Figure 2.7).

Lemma 3.9. Let C_0, C_1 be generic closed curves in general position and Γ a bridge between them. Let c_0, c_1 be compatible orientations with respect to Γ. Then c_0 is compatible with $\gamma'(0)$ if and only if c_1 is compatible with $-\gamma'(1)$.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. By Lemma 3.9 we may choose compatible parameters c_i of C_i ($i = 0, 1$) with respect to Γ and $(-1)^i\gamma'(i)$.

Transform C_i along Γ_i as shown in Figure 3.5 to obtain $\hat{C}_i$ so that $C_0 +_\Gamma C_1 = \hat{C}_0 +_{\hat{\Gamma}} \hat{C}_1$, where the right hand side is a connected sum. In [1] such a transformation is called



FIGURE 3.5. The pushing-appendix along Γ

pushing-appendix along Γ. It is enough to compute $J^\pm(\hat{C}_i)$ since by Theorem 2.17

$$J^\pm(C_0 +_\Gamma C_1) = J^\pm(\hat{C}_0 +_{\hat{\Gamma}} \hat{C}_1) = J^\pm(\hat{C}_0) + J^\pm(\hat{C}_1). \tag{3.3}$$

At some finitely many instances the above pushing-appendix gets across self-tangencies, that derive from

(i) the double points of Γ, and



FIGURE 3.6. Self tangencies derived from a double point of Γ



the same side of Γ $\Longleftrightarrow$ direct the opposite sides of Γ $\Longleftrightarrow$ inverse

FIGURE 3.7. Self-tangencies derived from a point in $\Gamma \cap C_0$

(ii) the intersections of C_i with Γ.

For (i), define $n_i := |D(\Gamma_i)|$ $(i = 0, 1)$. Then $n_0 + n_1 = n_\Gamma$ by (3.1). Corresponding to each double point of Γ, the above pushing-appendix gets across a pair of a positive direct self tangency and a positive inverse self tangency (see Figure 3.6). Thus the self tangencies derived from (i) add $\pm 2n_i$ to $J^\pm(C_i)$.

For (ii), we see in Figure 3.7 that, if $x \in \operatorname{Int}\Gamma \cap C_0$ is such that $s(x) = +1$ (resp. $s(x) = -1$), then the pushing-appendix gets across positively a direct (resp. an inverse) self tangency at x. Thus self-tangencies derived from (ii) add $\pm 2l_0^\pm$ to $J^\pm(C_0)$, where $l_0^\pm$ are the numbers of points $x \in \operatorname{Int}\Gamma_0 \cap C_0$ such that $s(x) = \pm 1$.

By (3.1) we may suppose that $\gamma(1/3) \in \partial\Gamma_0$ is in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C_0$, whose index is 0. Thus $\operatorname{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) = l_0^+ - l_0^-$ by Lemma 3.7 and by our choice of c_0. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} J^\pm(\hat{C}_0) &= J^\pm(C_0) \pm 2n_0 \pm 2l_0^\pm \\ &= J^\pm(C_0) \pm 2n_0 + (l_0^+ - l_0^-) \pm (l_0^+ + l_0^-) \\ &= J^\pm(C_0) \pm 2n_0 + \operatorname{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) \pm |\operatorname{Int}\Gamma_0 \cap C_0| \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Since c_1 is the induced orientation by $-\gamma'(1)$, a similar calculation to (3.4) shows

$$J^\pm(\hat{C}_1) = J^\pm(C_1) \pm 2n_1 + \operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) \pm |\operatorname{Int}\Gamma_1 \cap C_1| \,. \tag{3.5}$$

Substituting (3.4), (3.5) to (3.3) and using $n_\Gamma = n_0 + n_1$ and $|\operatorname{Int}\Gamma_0 \cap C_0| + |\operatorname{Int}\Gamma_1 \cap C_1| = |\operatorname{Int}\Gamma \cap (C_0 \cup C_1)|$ (this is because of (3.1)), we complete the proof. □

4. The generalized connected sum formula

4.1. **The statement.** For any $c_0, c_1 \in \mathcal{GI}$ in general position, there exists a generic homotopy of c_0 such that

- it does not intersect the discriminant Σ (e.g., a parallel translation),
- it separates c_0 from c_1,
- except for finitely many instances in the homotopy c_0 and c_1 are always in general position, and at the instances there exists exactly one generic tangency or generic triple point involving both c_0 and c_1.

We call such a homotopy a *generic separating homotopy* of c_0 from c_1.

Definition 4.1 ([2])**.** For $c_0, c_1 \in \mathcal{GI}$ in general position, let $T^+(c_0, c_1)$ be the number of direct tangencies between c_0 and c_1 that occur in a generic separating homotopy of c_0 from c_1. Each direct tangency is counted as $+1$ (resp. -1) if it is positive (resp. negative). Similarly define $T^-(c_0, c_1)$ as the number of inverse tangencies, counted with signs, between c_0 and c_1 that occur in a generic separating homotopy of c_0 from c_1.

For unoriented generic closed curves C_0 and C_1 in general position and a bridge Γ between them, we choose compatible parameters c_i of C_i with respect to Γ and define $T^{\pm}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1) := T^{\pm}(c_0, c_1)$.

Definition 4.2 ([2])**.** Let C_0 and C_1 be unoriented generic closed curves in general position and Γ a bridge between them. Define $T^{St}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1)$ as the number of triple points occurring in a generic separating homotopy of C_0 from C_1, counted with signs. Here the sign $(-1)^q$ of each newborn triangle is determined by extending the homotopy to that of $C_0 +_{\Gamma} C_1$ in any way.

Remark 4.3. Well-definedness of $T^{\pm}$ and T^{St}_{Γ} is proved in [2]. Topological descriptions of them given in §5 can be seen as alternative proofs.

$T^{\pm}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1)$ and $T^{St}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1)$ are independent of the choice of compatible parameters. To define T^{St} the orientations of c_0, c_1 are not enough; to determine a cyclic order of the edges of a newborn triangle we need (the endpoints of) a bridge Γ since not all the three edges of a newborn triangle are contained in a single curve C_i, $i = 0$ or 1.

Now we can state the generalized connected sum formula in the most general form, up to computations of $T^{\pm}_{\Gamma}$ and T^{St}_{Γ}.

Theorem 4.4. Let C_0, C_1 be generic closed curves in general position and $\Gamma = \gamma([0, 1])$ a bridge between them, with n_{Γ} double points. Let $R_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C_i$ $(i = 0, 1)$ be the connected component that contains $\gamma(1 - i)$. Then

$$J^{\pm}(C_0 +_{\Gamma} C_1) = J^{\pm}(C_0) + J^{\pm}(C_1) \mp 2T^{\pm}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1) + \mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) + \mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) + \mathrm{ind}^{R_0}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) + \mathrm{ind}^{R_1}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) \pm 2n_{\Gamma} \pm |\mathrm{Int}\,\Gamma \cap (C_0 \cup C_1)|\,.$$

Theorem 4.5. Let C_0, C_1 and Γ be as in Theorem 4.4. Then

$$St(C_0 +_{\Gamma} C_1) = St(C_0) + St(C_1) - T^{St}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1) + 2\,\mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0)\mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) - 2\sum s(x)s(y),$$

where the sum in the right hand side is taken over all the pairs (x, y), $x \in \mathrm{Int}\,\Gamma \cap C_0$ and $y \in \mathrm{Int}\,\Gamma \cap C_1$, such that $\gamma(0), x, y$ and $\gamma(1)$ are placed on Γ in this order.

Remark 4.6. Theorem 4.4 recovers and extends Proposition 3.3 to any strange sums, as the special case that $T^{\pm}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1) = 0$ and $\mathrm{ind}^{R_i}_{(-1)^i\gamma'(i)}(C_i) = 0$; in Proposition 3.3, C_0, C_1 are separated and R_i is the unbounded component R^{∞}_i of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C_i$. If moreover $C_0 +_{\Gamma} C_1$ is a connected sum, then Arnold's formula for $J^{\pm}$ (Theorem 2.17 (1)) is recovered; in this case $n_{\Gamma} = |\mathrm{Int}\,\Gamma \cap (C_0 \cup C_1)| = 0$ and $\mathrm{ind}_{(-1)^i\gamma'(i)}(C_i) = 0$ because $R_{(-1)^i\gamma'(i)} = R^{\infty}_i$.

Similarly Theorem 4.5 recovers Arnold's formula for St (Theorem 2.17 (2)); in this case $T^{St}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1) = 0$, and $\gamma(0), x, y, \gamma(1)$ are always placed on Γ in this order for any $x \in \mathrm{Int}\,\Gamma \cap C_0$ and $y \in \mathrm{Int}\,\Gamma \cap C_1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum s(x)s(y) &= \sum_{x \in \mathrm{Int}\,\Gamma \cap C_0} s(x) \sum_{y \in \mathrm{Int}\,\Gamma \cap C_1} s(y) \\ &= (\mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) - \mathrm{ind}^{R^{\infty}_0}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0))(\mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) - \mathrm{ind}^{R^{\infty}_1}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1)) \\ &= \mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0)\mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1).\end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from Lemma 3.7, and the last equality holds since $\mathrm{ind}^{R^{\infty}_i}(C_i) = 0$.

FIGURE 4.1. Γ in Theorem 4.7 and separation of C_0 from C_1 along Γ



FIGURE 4.2. The point x_1 (resp. x_2, x_3, x_4) contributes to l_0^+ (resp. l_0^-, l_1^-, l_1^+)

4.2. **Mendes de Jesus-Romero Fuster formulas.** The following Theorem 4.7 due to Mendes de Jesus and Romero Fuster [2] generalizes Theorem 2.17 in a different way from Proposition 3.3. This is the second key step for the proof of Theorems 4.4, 4.5.

Theorem 4.7 ([2, Theorems 1, 2])**.** Let C_0, C_1 be unoriented generic closed curves in general position. Suppose that we are given a bridge $\Gamma = \gamma([0,1])$ between them satisfying $\operatorname{Int}\Gamma \cap (C_0 \cup C_1) = \emptyset$ and $D(\Gamma) = \emptyset$ (see Figure 4.1, the left). Then we have

(1) $J^{\pm}(C_0 +_\Gamma C_1) = J^{\pm}(C_0) + J^{\pm}(C_1) \mp 2T^{\pm}_\Gamma(C_0, C_1) + 2\operatorname{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) + 2\operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1)$,
(2) $St(C_0 +_\Gamma C_1) = St(C_0) + St(C_1) - T^{St}_\Gamma(C_0, C_1) + 2\operatorname{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0)\operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1)$.

Remark 4.8. Under the assumption of Theorem 4.7 we have $n_\Gamma = |\operatorname{Int}\Gamma \cap (C_0 \cup C_1)| = 0$ and $\operatorname{ind}^{R_i}_{(-1)^i\gamma'(i)}(C_i) = \operatorname{ind}_{(-1)^i\gamma'(i)}(C_i)$; the last equality holds because in the case $\gamma(1-i) \in R_{(-1)^i\gamma'(i)}$. Thus Theorem 4.4 generalizes Theorem 4.7 (1). Similarly Theorem 4.5 generalizes Theorem 4.7 (2) since under the assumption of Theorem 4.7, the last term in Theorem 4.5 is a sum over the emptyset.

Theorem 4.7 in fact slightly generalizes [2, Theorems 1, 2]. In [2] closed curves are in advance given orientations and bridges Γ should be put so that the orientations are compatible with respect to Γ. Since we begin with unoriented curves, we can put Γ anywhere (as long as it satisfies the assumptions).

In private communications the authors of [2] told us that their formula for St in [2] contains an error (in the "index part") and Theorem 4.7 corrects it. The formula for $J^{\pm}$ in [2] is correct; the difference in the sign of $T^{\pm}_\Gamma$ is because of our definition of T^-_Γ.

4.2.1. *Proof of Theorem 4.7 (1).* We notice that, performing a generic homotopy if necessary, we may assume that Γ is a straight segment and the parallel translation of C_0 to the direction $-\gamma'(0)$ is a generic separating homotopy. Denote by $\hat{C}_0$ the resulting closed curve which is equivalent to C_0 and separated from C_1, and let $\hat{\Gamma} = \hat{\gamma}([0,1])$ be the straight segment obtained by extending Γ so that $\hat{\gamma}(0) \in \hat{C}_0$ corresponds to $\gamma(0) \in C_0$ and $\hat{\gamma}(1) = \gamma(1) \in C_1$. See Figure 4.1, the right.

Denoting $\hat{C}_1 := C_1$ for coherence of notations, we define

$$l_i^{\pm} := \left|\{x \in \operatorname{Int}\hat{\Gamma} \cap \hat{C}_i \mid s(x) = \pm 1\}\right|, \tag{4.1}$$

see Figure 4.2. These values are independent of the choice of compatible parameters.

Lemma 4.9. Let $\hat{C}_0, C_1$ and $\hat{\Gamma}$ as above. Then

$$J^{\pm}(\hat{C}_0 +_{\hat{\Gamma}} C_1) = J^{\pm}(C_0 +_\Gamma C_1) \pm 2T^{\pm}_\Gamma(C_0, C_1) \pm 2(l_0^{\mp} + l_1^{\mp}).$$



FIGURE 4.3. Tangencies before/after taking connected sum

Proof. The parallel translation of C_0 to the direction $-\gamma'(0)$ is naturally extended to a generic homotopy h from $C_0 +_\Gamma C_1$ to $\hat{C}_0 +_{\hat{\Gamma}} C_1$. All the self tangency points in the homotopy h involve both C_0 and C_1 because h is just a parallel translation of C_0. The homotopy h produces roughly $T^{\pm}_\Gamma(C_0, C_1)$ direct / inverse self tangencies of $C_0 +_\Gamma C_1$ (counted with signs). But we have punched "holes" on C_0 and C_1 near $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(1)$ to make the generalized connected sum, and these holes may change the numbers of tangencies.

For example, let $x \in \operatorname{Int}\hat{\Gamma} \cap \hat{C}_i$ $(i = 0, 1)$ be such that $s(x) = -1$. Then $\hat{C}_i$ and $\hat{C}_{1-i}$ point the same side of $\hat{\Gamma}$ at x and $\hat{\gamma}(1-i)$. As we see in Figure 4.3 (the case $i = 1$), an arc in C_i near x relates to one more positive direct tangency with C_{1-i} in the homotopy h than in the separating homotopy. There are l^-_i such x's. Thus $T^+_\Gamma(C_0, C_1) + (l^-_0 + l^-_1)$ direct self tangencies occur in h.

Similarly we see that, for $x \in \operatorname{Int}\hat{\Gamma} \cap \hat{C}_i$ $(i = 0, 1)$ such that $s(x) = +1$, an arc in C_i near x relates to one more positive inverse tangency with C_{1-i} in the homotopy h than in the separating homotopy. Thus $T^-_\Gamma(C_0, C_1) + (l^+_0 + l^+_1)$ inverse self tangencies occur in h. □

Lemma 4.10. Let $\hat{C}_0, C_1$ and $\hat{\Gamma}$ as above. Then

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\hat{\gamma}'(0)}(\hat{C}_0) = l^+_0 - l^-_0, \quad \operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) = l^+_1 - l^-_1.$$

Proof. They follow from Lemma 3.7 and the fact that $\hat{\gamma}(i) \in R^\infty_{1-i}$, whose index is zero $(\hat{C}_1 := C_1)$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.7 (1). Let $\hat{C}_0$ and $\hat{\Gamma}$ be as above. They satisfy all the assumptions in Proposition 3.3, and since $n_{\hat{\Gamma}} = 0$ we have

$$J^\pm(\hat{C}_0 +_{\hat{\Gamma}} C_1) = J^\pm(\hat{C}_0) + J^\pm(C_1) + \operatorname{ind}_{\hat{\gamma}'(0)}(\hat{C}_0) + \operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) \pm \left|\operatorname{Int}\hat{\Gamma} \cap (\hat{C}_0 \cup C_1)\right|.$$



FIGURE 4.4. New triple points occurring in h

Because $\hat{C}_0$ is a parallel translation of C_0, we have $J^{\pm}(\hat{C}_0) = J^{\pm}(C_0)$ and $\mathrm{ind}_{\hat{\gamma}'(0)}(\hat{C}_0) = \mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0)$. Using Lemma 4.9 and $\left|\mathrm{Int}\,\hat{\Gamma} \cap (\hat{C}_0 \cup C_1)\right| = l_0^+ + l_0^- + l_1^+ + l_1^-$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\pm}(C_0 +_{\Gamma} C_1) &\pm 2T^{\pm}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1) \pm 2(l_0^{\mp} + l_1^{\mp}) \\ &= J^{\pm}(C_0) + J^{\pm}(C_1) + \mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) + \mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) \pm (l_0^+ + l_0^- + l_1^+ + l_1^-). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\pm}(C_0 +_{\Gamma} C_1) = J^{\pm}(C_0) + J^{\pm}(C_1) &\mp 2T^{\pm}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1) \\ &+ \mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) + \mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) + (l_0^+ - l_0^-) + (l_1^+ - l_1^-), \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 4.10 completes the proof. □

4.2.2. *Proof of Theorem 4.7 (2).* Let $\hat{C}_0$ and $\hat{\Gamma}$ be as above. The idea is the same as above; describe $St(\hat{C}_0 +_{\hat{\Gamma}} C_1)$ using $St(C_0 +_{\Gamma} C_1)$ and $T^{St}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1)$ and substitute it to

$$St(\hat{C}_0 +_{\hat{\Gamma}} C_1) = St(C_0) + St(C_1), \tag{4.2}$$

that follows from Theorem 2.17. To do this we need to count the number of triple points occurring in a homotopy h from $C_0 +_{\Gamma} C_1$ to $\hat{C}_0 +_{\hat{\Gamma}} C_1$.

In contrast to the case of $J^{\pm}$, the "holes" used to make the generalized connected sum do not affect the number of triple points. This is because, performing a small generic homotopy if necessary, we may assume that any double points of C_i $(i = 0, 1)$ do not get across small arcs on C_{1-i} near $\gamma(1 - i)$ in the separating homotopy of C_0 from C_1.

Instead, in the homotopy h from $C_0 +_{\Gamma} C_1$ to $\hat{C}_0 +_{\Gamma} C_1$, an arc of C_0 near $x \in C_0$ that corresponds to some $\hat{x} \in \mathrm{Int}\,\hat{\Gamma} \cap \hat{C}_0$ makes two triple points with the parallels of Γ (see Definition 2.14) and each arc of C_1 near some $y \in \mathrm{Int}\,\hat{\Gamma} \cap C_1$ (see Figure 4.4). These triple points do not occur in the separating homotopy (i.e., parallel translation of C_0).

Lemma 4.11. The signs of two newborn triangles that occur when $x \in C_0$ (corresponding to some $\hat{x} \in \mathrm{Int}\,\hat{\Gamma} \cap \hat{C}_0$) gets through an arc of C_1 near $y \in \mathrm{Int}\,\hat{\Gamma} \cap C_1$ in the homotopy h are both $-s(x)s(y)$ (for $s(x)$ see Definition 3.6).

Proof. Check all the cases. Figure 4.5 shows three typical examples: In the first one $s(x) = s(y) = +1$ and in the separating homotopy an inverse tangency occurs. In the second $s(x) = -1$, $s(y) = +1$ and in the separating homotopy a direct tangency occurs. In the last one $s(x) = s(y) = -1$ and no tangency occurs. All the other cases can be similarly checked. □



FIGURE 4.5. New triple points after taking the generalized connected sum

Corollary 4.12. Let $\hat{C}_0$ and $\hat{\Gamma}$ be as above. Then the number of triple points (counted with signs) occurring in the homotopy h from $C_0 +_\Gamma C_1$ to $\hat{C}_0 +_{\hat{\Gamma}} C_1$ is

$$T^{St}_\Gamma(C_0, C_1) - 2\,\mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0)\mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1).$$

Proof. Let $l^\pm_i$ be as in (4.1). By Lemma 4.11, each small arc near $x \in \mathrm{Int}\,\hat{\Gamma} \cap \hat{C}_0$ produces $2(l^-_1 - l^+_1)s(x)$ triple points in the homotopy h. Summing them up for all the points $x \in \mathrm{Int}\,\hat{\Gamma} \cap \hat{C}_0$, we see that the number of all the triple points occurring in the homotopy h is

$$T^{St}_\Gamma(C_0, C_1) + 2(l^-_1 - l^+_1)(l^+_0 - l^-_0).$$

Lemma 3.7 together with the fact that $\hat{\gamma}(1) \in R^\infty_0$ and hence $\mathrm{ind}^{R^\infty_0}_{\gamma'(0)}(\hat{C}_0) = 0$ implies $l^+_0 - l^-_0 = \mathrm{ind}_{\hat{\gamma}'(0)}(\hat{C}_0) = \mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0)$. Similarly we have $l^-_1 - l^+_1 = -\mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1)$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.7 (2). By Corollary 4.12 we have

$$St(\hat{C}_0 +_{\hat{\Gamma}} C_1) = St(C_0 +_\Gamma C_1) + T^{St}_\Gamma(C_0, C_1) - 2\,\mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0)\mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1).$$

Substitute it to (4.2). □



FIGURE 4.6. Pushing appendices from C_0

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 4.4.** Let C_0, C_1 be generic closed curves in general position and Γ any bridge between them. Pushing appendices from C_0 along Γ as in Figure 4.6, we obtain a generic curve $\overline{C}_0$ and a bridge $\overline{\Gamma}$ between $\overline{C}_0$ and C_1 such that $\overline{C}_0$, $\overline{\Gamma}$ and C_1 satisfy the assumptions in Theorem 4.7 and $C_0 +_\Gamma C_1 = \overline{C}_0 +_{\overline{\Gamma}} C_1$. Thus

$$J^\pm(C_0 +_\Gamma C_1) = J^\pm(\overline{C}_0) + J^\pm(C_1) \mp 2T^\pm_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\overline{C}_0, C_1) + 2(\mathrm{ind}_{\overline{\gamma}'(0)}(\overline{C}_0) + \mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1)), \tag{4.3}$$

where $\overline{\gamma}$ is a parameter of $\overline{\Gamma}$. We need to compute $J^\pm(\overline{C}_0)$, $T^\pm_\Gamma(\overline{C}_0, C_1)$ and $\mathrm{ind}_{\overline{\gamma}'(0)}(\overline{C}_0)$.

By Lemma 3.9 we can choose compatible orientations c_i $(i = 0, 1)$ of C_i with respect to Γ and $(-1)^i\gamma'(i)$. Define $l^\pm_i$ $(i = 0, 1)$ by

$$l^\pm_i := \{x \in \mathrm{Int}\,\Gamma \cap C_i \mid s(x) = \pm 1\}$$

(they are similar to (4.1)). Then as we have seen in Figure 3.7, at each point $x \in \mathrm{Int}\,\Gamma \cap C_0$ with $s(x) = +1$ (resp. -1), the pushing-appendix from C_0 produces a positive direct (resp. inverse) self tangency. Moreover at each double point of Γ the pushing-appendix produces one direct self tangency and one inverse self tangency (see Figure 3.6). Thus the pushing-appendix from C_0 along Γ produces $l^\pm_0 + n_\Gamma$ direct / inverse self tangencies, and hence

$$J^\pm(\overline{C}_0) = J^\pm(C_0) \pm 2(l^\pm_0 + n_\Gamma). \tag{4.4}$$

Let $\overline{c}_0$ be a parameter of $\overline{C}_0$ that is naturally induced by c_0. Then $\overline{c}_0$ is compatible with $\overline{\gamma}'(0)$. We notice that R_0 is the unique component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C_0$ such that $R_{\overline{\gamma}'(0)} \subset R_0$. We have $\mathrm{ind}^{R_{\overline{\gamma}'(0)}}(\overline{c}_0) = \mathrm{ind}^{R_0}(c_0)$ by definition of index, and by Lemma 3.7 we have $\mathrm{ind}^{R_0}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) = \mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) + l^-_0 - l^+_0$. Since c_0 and $\overline{c}_0$ are compatible we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ind}_{\overline{\gamma}'(0)}(\overline{C}_0) &= \mathrm{ind}^{R_{\overline{\gamma}'(0)}}(\overline{c}_0) = \mathrm{ind}^{R_0}(c_0) = \mathrm{ind}^{R_0}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) \\ &= \mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) + l^-_0 - l^+_0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Similarly by Lemma 3.7

$$\mathrm{ind}^{R_1}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) = \mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) + l^-_1 - l^+_1 \tag{4.6}$$

since c_1 is compatible with $-\gamma'(1)$. Using (4.5) and (4.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&2(\mathrm{ind}_{\overline{\gamma}'(0)}(\overline{C}_0) + \mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1)) \\ &\quad = (\mathrm{ind}^{R_0}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) + \mathrm{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) + l^-_0 - l^+_0) + (\mathrm{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) + \mathrm{ind}^{R_1}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) - l^-_1 + l^+_1).\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

To compute $T^\pm_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\overline{C}_0, C_1)$, we may separate $\overline{C}_0$ from C_1 as follows;

(i) first pull appendices back to C_0 along Γ,
(ii) separate C_0 from C_1, and then
(iii) push appendices again from C_0 along Γ to produce $\overline{C}_0$.

Although this is not a generic separating homotopy in the sense of the beginning of this section, but as we can easily see, generic homotopy of c_0 in which no tangency between c_0 and c_1 occurs do not change $T^\pm$.

In (i) all the tangencies between C_0 and C_1 are negative. If $y \in \operatorname{Int}\Gamma \cap C_1$ is such that $s(y) = +1$ (resp. $s(y) = -1$), then C_1 and C_0 point the opposite sides (resp. the same side) of Γ at y and $\gamma(0)$ and an inverse (resp. a direct) tangency occurs between C_0 and C_1 at y. For example, at the point $y = x_4$ in Figure 4.2, a negative inverse tangency occurs in (i). Thus the numbers of direct / inverse tangencies in (i) are respectively $-l_1^-$ and $-l_1^+$. The number of tangencies in (ii) is by definition $T_\Gamma^\pm(C_0, C_1)$. In (iii) no tangency occurs between C_0 and C_1. Thus

$$T_{\overline{\Gamma}}^\pm(\overline{C}_0, C_1) = T_\Gamma^\pm(C_0, C_1) - l_1^\mp. \tag{4.8}$$

Substituting (4.4), (4.7) and (4.8) to (4.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J^\pm(C_0 +_\Gamma C_1) = {} & J^\pm(C_0) + J^\pm(C_1) \mp 2T_\Gamma^\pm(C_0, C_1) + \operatorname{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) + \operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) \\ & + \operatorname{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}^{R_0}(C_0) + \operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}^{R_1}(C_1) \pm 2(l_0^\pm + n_\Gamma) + (l_0^- - l_0^+ - l_1^- + l_1^+) \pm 2l_1^\mp. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the result for $J^\pm$ follows because

$$\pm 2l_0^\pm + (l_0^- - l_0^+ - l_1^- + l_1^+) \pm 2l_1^\mp = \pm(l_0^+ + l_0^- + l_1^+ + l_1^-) = \pm\left|\operatorname{Int}\Gamma \cap (C_0 \cup C_1)\right|.$$

4.4. **Proof of Theorem 4.5.** By Theorem 4.7 (2) we have

$$St(C_0 +_\Gamma C_1) = St(\overline{C}_0) + St(C_1) - T_{\overline{\Gamma}}^{St}(\overline{C}_0, C_1) + 2\operatorname{ind}_{\overline{\gamma}'(0)}(\overline{C}_0)\operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1),$$

where $\overline{C}_0$ and $\overline{\Gamma}$ are as above. Here we notice that $St(\overline{C}_0) = St(C_0)$ because $\overline{C}_0$ can be obtained by a pushing-appendix in which no triple point occurs. Moreover $\operatorname{ind}_{\overline{\gamma}'(0)}(\overline{C}_0) = \operatorname{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}^{R_0}(C_0)$ by (4.5). Thus

$$St(C_0 +_\Gamma C_1) = St(C_0) + St(C_1) - T_{\overline{\Gamma}}^{St}(\overline{C}_0, C_1) + 2\operatorname{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}^{R_0}(C_0)\operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1). \tag{4.9}$$

Suppose $\operatorname{Int}\Gamma \cap C_0 = \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$ and let $R_1^{(j)} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C_1$ be the component that contains x_j. As we will show in Corollary 5.11,

$$T_{\overline{\Gamma}}^{St}(\overline{C}_0, C_1) = T_\Gamma^{St}(C_0, C_1) - 2\sum_{1\le j\le p} s(x_j)\operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}^{R^{(j)}}(C_1) \tag{4.10}$$

(here $\operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}^{R^{(j)}}(C_1) = \operatorname{ind}^{R^{(j)}}(C_1)$ because c_1 is compatible with $-\gamma'(1)$). By Lemma 3.7 we have

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}^{R_0}(C_0) = \operatorname{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0) - \sum_{1\le j\le p} s(x_j). \tag{4.11}$$

Using (4.10) and (4.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & - T_{\overline{\Gamma}}^{St}(\overline{C}_0, C_1) + 2\operatorname{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}^{R_0}(C_0)\operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) \\ & \qquad = -T_\Gamma^{St}(C_0, C_1) + 2\operatorname{ind}_{\gamma'(0)}(C_0)\operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) \\ & \qquad\qquad + 2\sum_{1\le j\le p} s(x_j)\Big(\operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}^{R_1^{(j)}}(C_1) - \operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1)\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Again by Lemma 3.7,

$$\operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}^{R_1^{(j)}}(C_1) - \operatorname{ind}_{-\gamma'(1)}(C_1) = -\sum s(y), \tag{4.13}$$

where y runs over the points in $\operatorname{Int}\Gamma \cap C_1$ sitting between x_j and $\gamma(1)$. Substituting (4.12) and (4.13) into (4.9) we completes the proof for St.

5. Computations of $T^\pm$ and T_Γ^{St}

Here we give algorithms to compute $T_\Gamma^\pm(C_0, C_1)$ and $T_\Gamma^{St}(C_0, C_1)$ for any generic closed curves C_0, C_1 in general position and any bridge Γ between them. This completes our generalized sum formulas.

FIGURE 5.1. Splice of a double point



FIGURE 5.2. New tangencies

5.1. $T^{\pm}$**.** It is enough to compute $T^{\pm}(c_0, c_1)$ for any $c_0, c_1 \in \mathcal{GI}$ in general position. We call a curve equivalent to K_1 a *simple closed curve*.

Definition 5.1. For $c \in \mathcal{GI}$, let $S(c)$ be the disjoint union of simple closed curves obtained by splicing all the double points of c as shown in Figure 5.1.

Lemma 5.2 ([2, Lemma 3])**.** Let $c_0, c_1 \in \mathcal{GI}$ be in general position. Suppose $S(c_0) = c_0^1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup c_0^k$, where each simple closed curve c_0^i inherits the orientation of c_0, and the splicing is done in sufficiently small neighborhood of double points of c_0 so that $\bigcup_j c_0^j \cap c_1 = c_0 \cap c_1$. Then

$$T^{\pm}(c_0, c_1) = \sum_{1 \le j \le k} T^{\pm}(c_0^j, c_1).$$

Proof. A generic separating homotopy of c_0 from c_1 induces generic separating homotopies of $c_0^1, \ldots, c_0^k$ from c_1. Some triple points occurring in the original homotopy may be replaced by pairs of positive and negative tangencies between c_0^j and c_1 as in Figure 5.2, but they cancel with each other in $\sum_i T^{\pm}(c_0^i, c_1)$. □

Thus the case both c_0, c_1 are simple closed curves is essential in the computation of $T^{\pm}$, because in general we have by Lemma 5.2

$$T^{\pm}(c_0, c_1) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{m=1}^{l} T^{\pm}(c_0^j, c_1^m),$$

where $S(c_0) = c_0^1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup c_0^k$ and $S(c_1) = c_1^1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup c_1^l$ and each simple closed curve c_i^j $(i = 0, 1)$ inherits the orientation of c_i.

Recall the rotation number r from Definition 2.1. If c is a simple closed curve then $r(c) = \pm 1$.

Proposition 5.3. Let c_0, c_1 be non-separated oriented simple closed curves in general position and let D_i be the disk bounded by C_i. If $r(c_0) = r(c_1)$ then

$$T^{+}(c_0, c_1) = -\frac{1}{2} |C_0 \cap C_1| + |\pi_0(D_0 \cap D_1)|, \quad T^{-}(c_0, c_1) = -|\pi_0(D_0 \cap D_1)|.$$



FIGURE 5.3. The case $C_0 \subset D_1$



FIGURE 5.4. Polygons

If $r(c_0) = -r(c_1)$ then

$$T^+(c_0, c_1) = -|\pi_0(D_0 \cap D_1|\,, \quad T^-(c_0, c_1) = -\frac{1}{2}|C_0 \cap C_1| + |\pi_0(D_0 \cap D_1)|\,.$$

Remark 5.4. By Proposition 5.3 we have $T^+(c_0, c_1) + T^-(c_0, c_1) = -|C_0 \cap C_1|/2$ for simple closed curves c_0, c_1. In fact this is true for any generic closed curves c_0, c_1 in general position. This can be proved by choosing a bridge Γ so that c_0, c_1 are compatible orientations, and by using Theorem 4.4 and the fact that in general $J^+(C) - J^-(C) = |D(C)|$ [1, §4].

First consider the case that $C_0 \cap C_1 = \emptyset$, in which case $C_0 \subset D_1$ or $C_1 \subset D_0$. In this case Proposition 5.3 claims that $T^\pm(c_0, c_1) = \pm r(c_0)r(c_1)$. Figure 5.3 would be enough for the proof of this case. Only the cases $(r(c_0), r(c_1)) = (+1, +1), (-1, +1)$ are shown, but for the remaining cases we only need to reverse the orientations, that does not change the types (direct or inverse) of tangencies.

For the case $C_0 \cap C_1 \neq \emptyset$ we note that, if $C_0 \cap C_1 \neq \emptyset$, then C_1 divides D_0 into finitely many polygons, each of which is a $2k$-gon for some $k \geq 1$. Notice also that the components of $D_0 \cap D_1$ appear alternately in D_0, namely if two components of $D_0 \setminus (C_1 \cap D_0)$ are adjacent to each other, then one component is in $D_0 \cap D_1$ and the other is not.

Lemma 5.5. There is at least one component R of $D_0 \cap D_1$ satisfying one of the following;

(i) all but possibly one components of $D_0 \setminus (C_1 \cap D_0)$ adjacent to R in D_0 are 2-gons, or
(ii) R is a 2-gon (see Figure 5.4).

Proof. If $D_0 \cap D_1$ is connected, then C_0, C_1 are as in Figure 5.5, and hence $D_0 \cap D_1$ is a 2-gon or otherwise all the adjacent components to $D_0 \cap D_1$ in D_0 are 2-gons.



FIGURE 5.5. The cases $D_0 \cap D_1$ is connected



FIGURE 5.6. A surgery



FIGURE 5.7. R_2, R_3

Suppose $|\pi_0(D_0 \cap D_1)| \geq 2$, and choose any component R_0 of $D_0 \cap D_1$. If R_0 is not a component as claimed, then choose an adjacent component R_1 to R_0 in D_0 that is not a 2-gon, and by a surgery along $\overline{R}_0 \cap \overline{R}_1$ we 'cut' C_0 into two simple closed curves (see Figure 5.6). Let C_0' be one of these simple closed curves that bounds the disk D_0' with $D_0' \cap R_1 \neq \emptyset$. Then $D_0' \cap D_1$ has at least one component (otherwise R_1 is a 2-gon) but fewer than $D_0 \cap D_1$. Repeating similar surgeries to C_0' we can find a component $R_2 \subset D_0 \cap D_1$ and $R_3 \subset D_0$ adjacent to R_2 such that the surgery along $\overline{R}_2 \cap \overline{R}_3$ produces a simple closed curve C_0'' such that $R' := D_0'' \cap D_1$ is connected, where D_0'' is the disk bounded by C_0'' (see Figure 5.7). All the adjacent components to R' in D_0'' are thus 2-gon, and in the original D_0 all the adjacent components to R' except for R_3 are 2-gons. □

Choose a component P of $D_0 \cap D_1$ as claimed in Lemma 5.5. If P is a $2k$-gon, then we can transform C_0 as in Figure 5.8 through k negative tangencies so that the disk D_0' bounded by the resulting curve C_0' satisfies $D_0' \cap D_1 = (D_0 \cap D_1) \setminus P$. If $r(c_0) = r(c_1)$ then $k-1$ of the tangencies are direct and the remaining one is inverse, while if $r(c_0) = -r(c_1)$ then $k-1$ of the tangencies are inverse and the remaining one is direct. Repeating the similar transformations we see the following.

Proposition 5.6. Let c_0, c_1 be oriented simple closed curves in general position and D_i $(i = 0, 1)$ the disks bounded by $C_i := c_i(S^1)$. Suppose $D_0 \cap D_1 = P_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup P_s$ where each



FIGURE 5.8. Transformation of C_0 along P (the case $r(c_0) = -r(c_1)$)

P_i is a $2k_i$-gon. Then if $r(c_0) = r(c_1)$ we have

$$T^+(c_0, c_1) = -\sum_{i=1}^{s}(k_i - 1), \quad T^-(c_0, c_1) = -s,$$

and if $r(c_0) = -r(c_1)$ we have

$$T^+(c_0, c_1) = -s, \quad T^-(c_0, c_1) = -\sum_{i=1}^{s}(k_i - 1).$$

Proof of Proposition 5.3, the case $C_0 \cap C_1 \neq \emptyset$. Let P_i $(1 \leq i \leq s)$ be as in Proposition 5.6. Then $s = |\pi_0(D_0 \cap D_1)|$. Each point in $C_0 \cap C_1$ is a vertex of exactly one P_i for some i, and hence $|C_0 \cap C_1| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} 2k_i$. Thus the claim follows from Proposition 5.6. □

5.2. T^{St}_{Γ}**.** Let C_0, C_1 be generic closed curves in general position and Γ a bridge between them. A triple point occurs in a generic separating homotopy of C_0 from C_1 when a double point of C_i gets across C_{1-i} $(i = 0, 1)$. To compute $T^{St}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1)$ we need to determine the sign $(-1)^q$ of the newborn triangle at each triple point (see Definition 2.5 and Example 2.6).

Definition 5.7 ([1, §6])**.** Let $c \in \mathcal{GI}$ and let $x = c(t_0) \in C \setminus D(C)$, where $C := c(S^1)$. For a double point $p = c(t_1) = c(t_2) \in D(C)$, $t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1 + t_0$, define $e^c_x(p)$ as $+1$ (resp. -1) if $\langle c'(t_1), c'(t_2)\rangle$ is a positive (resp. negative) basis of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

We can check that $e^{-c}_x(p) = -e^c_x(p)$.

Below we choose compatible parameters c_0, c_1 of C_0, C_1 with respect to Γ.

Lemma 5.8. When a double point p of c_0 gets across c_1 from the left in a separating homotopy, the sign $(-1)^q$ of the corresponding newborn triangle is equal to $e^{c_0}_{x_0}(p)$, where $x_0 \in C_0 := c_0(S^1)$ is one of the endpoints of Γ (namely $x_0 := \gamma(0)$).

Proof. Check all the cases. See Figure 5.9. □

Notice that if a double point p of c_0 gets across c_1 from the right, then the sign of the newborn triangle is $-e^{c_0}_{x_0}(p)$.

Proposition 5.9. Let C_0, C_1 be generic closed curves in general position and Γ a bridge between them. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ (resp. $q_1, \ldots, q_l$) be the double points of C_0 (resp. C_1) and R^i_1 (resp. R^j_0) the component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C_1$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C_0$) that contains p_i (resp. q_j). Then

$$T^{St}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} e^{c_0}_{x_0}(p_j)\mathrm{ind}^{R^j_1}(c_1) + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq l} e^{c_1}_{x_1}(q_m)\mathrm{ind}^{R^m_0}(c_0),$$

where $x_i := \gamma(i) \in C_i$ $(i = 0, 1)$ are the endpoints of Γ.

The right hand side of the formula in Proposition 5.9 does not depend on the compatible parameters c_0, c_1 since reversing the orientations change the signs of both e and ind.



FIGURE 5.9. All the possible newborn triangles after a double point p gets across c_1 from the left

Proof of Proposition 5.9. Suppose that p_j gets across c_1 from the right (resp. left) l^+ times (resp. l^- times) in a generic separating homotopy. Then p_j contributes to $T^{St}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1)$ by $(l^+ - l^-)e^{c_0}_{x_0}(p_j)$ by Lemma 5.8. On the other hand, we have seen in the proof of Lemma 3.7 that $l^- - l^+ = \mathrm{ind}^{R^\infty_1}(c_1) - \mathrm{ind}^{R^j_1}(c_1)$. Since $\mathrm{ind}^{R^\infty_1}(c_1) = 0$ we have $l^+ - l^- = \mathrm{ind}^{R^j_1}(c_1)$. The same observation on q_m completes the proof. □

Remark 5.10. Proposition 5.9 relates to the "pushing away formula" in [1, §6], which can be seen as the case that R^i_1 are common for any $i \geq 1$ and all R^j_0 are the unbounded component of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C_0$.

Corollary 5.11. Let C_i $(i = 0, 1)$, Γ, $\overline{C}_0$ and $\overline{\Gamma}$ as in §4.2. Suppose $\mathrm{Int}\,\Gamma \cap C_0 = \{x_1, \ldots, x_p\}$ and let $R^{(j)}_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus C_1$ be the component that contains x_j. Then

$$T^{St}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\overline{C}_0, C_1) = T^{St}_{\Gamma}(C_0, C_1) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} s(x_j)\mathrm{ind}^{R^{(j)}}(C_1).$$

Proof. Corresponding to each x_j, the curve $\overline{C}_0$ has two more double points y_j, z_j than C_0. As shown in Figure 5.10, we have $e^{\overline{c}_0}_{\overline{x}_0}(y_j) = e^{\overline{c}_0}_{\overline{x}_0}(z_j) = -s(x_j)$. Since $y_j, z_j \in R^{(j)}_1$, the claim follows from Proposition 5.9. □



FIGURE 5.10. $e^{\overline{c}_0}_{\overline{x}_0}(y_j) = e^{\overline{c}_0}_{\overline{x}_0}(z_j) = -s(x_j)$; the case $s(x) = +1$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors express their sincere appreciation to Mendes de Jesus and Romero Fuster for answering questions and giving fruitful comments on the draft of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

[1] V. I. Arnold, *Plane curves, their invariants, perestroikas and classifications*, Adv. Soviet Math., **21**, Singularities and bifurcations, 33–91, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994

[2] C. Mendes de Jesus and M. C. Romero Fuster, *Bridges, channels and Arnold's invariants for generic plane curves*, Topology Appl. **125** (2002), no. 3, 505–524

[3] H. Whitney, *On regular closed curves in the plane*, Compositio Math. **4** (1937), 276–284

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, SHINSHU UNIVERSITY, 3-1-1 ASAHI, MATSUMOTO, NAGANO 390-8621, JAPAN
E-mail address: ksakai@math.shinshu-u.ac.jp
E-mail address: 15sm108b@shinshu-u.ac.jp